FACSIMILE COVER PAGE (THIS COVER PAGE + 2 PAGES)

Today's Date: November 4, 2004

To: Examiner S. Tsegaye, Art Unit: 2662

FAX: (703) 872-9306

From: Frederick E. Cooperrider #36,769

McGinn&Gibb, PLLC Ph: (703) 761-2377

In re Application of Osamu Kuboniwa

Serial No.: 09/450,768

Filed: November 30, 1999

For: ADSL SYSTEM

Contents: 1. Statement of Substance of Interview (2 pages)

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSMISSION

I certify that I transmitted via facsimile to (703) 872-9306 this Statement of Substance of Interview to Examiner S. Tsegaye on November 4, 2004.

Frederick E. Cooperrider

Reg. No. 36,769

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

NOV 0 4 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Osamu KUBONIWA Group Art Unit: 2662

Serial No.: 09/450,768 Examiner: Tsegaye, Saba

Filed: Nov. 30, 1999 Atty. Docket No. MA-385-US

For: ADSL SYSTEM

Honorable Commissioner of Patents Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Sir:

In response to the requirement in 37 C.F.R. §1.2, 37 C.F.R. §1.133, and MPEP §713.04, that Applicants provide a statement of the substance of an interview, Applicant hereby submits the following summary.

Applicant gratefully acknowledges Examiner Tsegaye and Supervisory Examiner

Pezzlo for taking time from their busy schedule to conduct a personal interview on October

27, 2004, for the above-referenced Application. The interview was courteous and

professional, and it is believed by Applicant's representative that prosecution has been

advanced because of this interview.

Concerning the substance of the interview, Applicant's representative presented a summary of the present invention as described, for example, by claim 1. The focus of the discussion was on the aspect of the present invention in which there is no intervening SONET transmission line such as taught in US Patent 6,141,339 to Kaplan et al.

The Examiners raised a concern that the current claim language does not definitely preclude the interpretation that the SONET line is present. Applicant's representative

Serial No. 09/450,768 Docket No. MA-385-US Interview Summary 2

countered that the description in the claims of the After-Final Amendment filed on October 14, 2004, makes clear reference to a subscriber side apparatus and a station side apparatus interconnected by a subscriber line.

The Examiners maintained their position, and Applicant's representative agreed to provide a draft claim revision to Examiner Tsegaye to attempt to develop language acceptable to the Examiners that precludes the interpretation that the claims do not read on the SONET configuration of Kaplan.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: ///

Frederick E. Cooperrider (703) 761-2377 (Reg. No. 36,769)

McGinn&Gibb, PLLC Intellectual Property Law 8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200 Vienna, VA 22182-3817 (703) 761-4100 Customer No. 21254

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.